arXiv:math/0504398v6 [math.DG] 17 Oct 2006

On N-differential graded algebras

Mauricio Angel* and Rafael Díaz†

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We introduce the concept of N-differential graded algebras (N-dga), and study the moduli space of deformations of the differential of an N-dga. We prove that it is controlled by what we call the (M,N)-Maurer-Cartan equation.

Introduction

The goal of this paper is to take the first step towards finding a generalization of Homological Mirror Symmetry (HMS) [11] to the context of N-homological algebra [5]. In [7] Fukaya introduced HMS as the equivalence of the deformation functor of the differential of a differential graded algebra associated with the holomorphic structure, with the deformation functor of an A_∞-algebra associated with the symplectic structure of a Calabi-Yau variety. This idea motivated us to define deformation functors of the differential of an N-differential graded algebra. An N-dga is a graded associative algebra A, provided with an operator $d : A \to A$ of degree 1 such that $d(ab) = d(a)b + (-1)^{\bar{a}}ad(b)$ and $d^N = 0$. A nilpotent differential graded algebra (Nil-dga) will be an N-dga for some integer $N \geq 2$. Theorem 10 endows the category of Nil-differential graded algebras with a symmetric monoidal structure. We remark that such a monoidal structure cannot be constructed in a natural way for a fixed N (except for $N = 2$), not even using the q-deformed Leibniz rule, see [13].

In Section 2 we consider deformations of a 2-dga into an N-dga. By deforming 2-dgas one is able to construct a plethora of examples of N-dgas. Roughly speaking Theorem 16 tell us that a derivation of a 2-dga $d_A + e$ is an N-differential iff

$$\begin{aligned} (d_{End}(e) + e^2)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}(d_A + e) = 0 \quad & \text{for N odd,} \\ (d_{End}(e) + e^2)^{\frac{N}{2}} = 0 \quad & \text{for N even.} \end{aligned}$$

*Work partially supported by IVIC.
†Work partially supported by IVIC.

In Section 3 we introduce a general formalism for discrete quantum mechanics. We introduce these models since they turn out, in a totally unexpected way, to be relevant in the problem of deforming an M-differential into an N-differential with $N \geq M$. Section 4 contains our main result, Theorem 19 which provides an explicit identity called the (M, N)-Maurer-Cartan equation that controls deformations of an M-complex into an N-complex. The construction of the (M, N)-Maurer-Cartan equation is based on an explicit description of coefficients c_k such that

$$(d_A + e)^N = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} c_k d_A^k,$$

where c_k depends on d_A and e. In Section 5 we define a functional $cs_{2,2N}$ whose critical points are naturally determined by the $(2, 2N)$-Maurer-Cartan equation.

In conclusion in this paper we introduce the moduli space of deformations of the differential of an N-dga and prove that it is controlled by a generalized Maurer-Cartan equation. We point out that our methods and ideas can be applied in a wide variety of contexts. Examples of N-dga's coming from differential geometry are developed in [1]. A q-analogue, for q a primitive N-th root of unity, of our main result Theorem 19 is provided in [2]. In [3] we state an N-generalized Deligne's principle and use the constructions of this paper to study A_∞-algebras of depth N.

1 N-differential graded algebras and modules

Throughout this paper we shall work with the abelian category of **k**-modules over a commutative ring **k** with unit. We will denote by $A^\bullet$ $\mathbb{Z}$-graded **k**-modules $\oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} A^i$. We let $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}$ denote the degree of the element $a \in A^{\bar{a}}$. The following definition is taken from [9].

Definition 1 *Let $N \geq 1$ an integer. An N-**complex** is a pair $(A^\bullet, d)$, where $A^\bullet$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded object and $d : A^\bullet \to A^\bullet$ is a morphism of degree 1 such that $d^N = 0$.*

Clearly an N-complex is a P-complex for all $P \geq N$. If **k** is a field, then an N-complex $(A^\bullet, d)$ is referred as an N-**differential graded vector space** (N-dgvect). An N-complex $(A^\bullet, d)$ such that $d^{N-1} \neq 0$ is said to be a **proper** N-**complex**. Let $(A^\bullet, d_A)$ be an M-complex and $(B^\bullet, d_B)$ be an N-complex, a **morphism** $f : (A^\bullet, d_A) \to (B^\bullet, d_B)$ is a morphism $f : A^\bullet \to B^\bullet$ of **k**-modules such that $d_B f = f d_A$.

Lemma 2 *Let $(A^\bullet, d_A)$ be a proper M-complex, $(B^\bullet, d_B)$ be a proper N-complex and $f : (A^\bullet, d_A) \to (B^\bullet, d_B)$ be a morphism, then (1) If $Ker(f) = 0$, then $M \leq N$; (2) If $Im(f) = B^\bullet$, then $M \geq N$ and (3) If $Ker(f) = 0$ and $Im(f) = B^\bullet$, then $M = N$.*

Proof. 1. Assume that $N < M$ and let $a \in A^\bullet$ then $f(d_A^N(a)) = d_B^N(f(a)) = 0$. This implies that $d_A^N(a) \in Ker(f) = 0$, and therefore $d_A^N(a) = 0$ which is in contradiction with the fact that $(A^\bullet, d_A)$ is a proper M-complex. The proof of 2. is analogous to 1., 3. follows from 1. and 2.♦

Example 3 *Consider* $V = \mathbb{C} < e_1, e_2, e_3 >$ *the complex vector space generated by* e_1, e_2, e_3. *We endow* V *with a* $\mathbb{Z}$*-graduation declaring* $\bar{e_1} = 0$, $\bar{e_2} = 1$ *and* $\bar{e_3} = 2$. *Define the linear map* $d : V \to V$ *on generators by*

$$d(e_1) = e_2, \quad d(e_2) = e_3, \quad \text{and } d(e_3) = 0.$$

(V, d) *is a proper 3-complex.*

Definition 4 *Let* $(A^\bullet, d)$ *be an* N*-complex, we say that an element* $a \in A^i$ *is* **p-closed** *if* $d^p(a) = 0$ *and is* **p-exact** *if there exists an element* $b \in A^{i-N+p}$ *such that* $d^{N-p}(b) = a$, *for* $1 \leq p < N$ *fixed. The* **cohomology groups** *of are the* **k**-*modules*

$${}_pH^i(A) = \frac{Ker\{d^p : A^i \to A^{i+p}\}}{Im\{d^{N-p} : A^{i-N+p} \to A^i\}},$$

where $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $p = 1, 2, ..., N-1$. *We set* ${}_kH^*(A) = 0$ *for* $k \geq N$.

Notice that a 2-complex $A^\bullet$ is just a complex in the usual sense and in this case p is necessarily equal to 1 and ${}_1H^i(A)$ agrees with $H^i(A)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 5 *(a) Let* $N \geq 1$ *an integer. An* N**-differential graded algebra** *or* N*-dga over* **k**, *is a triple* $(A^\bullet, m, d)$ *where* $m : A^k \otimes A^l \to A^{k+l}$ *and* $d : A^k \to A^{k+1}$ *are* **k**-*modules homomorphisms satisfying*

1) The pair $(A^\bullet, m)$ *is a graded associative algebra.*

2) For all a, $b \in A^\bullet$, d *satisfies the graded Leibniz rule* $d(ab) = d(a)b + (-1)^{\bar{a}}ad(b)$.

3) $d^N = 0$, *i.e.,* $(A^\bullet, d)$ *is an* N*-complex.*

(b) A **nilpotent differential graded algebra** *(Nil-dga) is an* N*-dga for some integer* $N \geq 2$.

A 1-dga is a graded associative algebra. A 2-dga is a differential graded algebra.

Lemma 6 *Let* $(A^\bullet, m, d)$ *be an* N*-dga, then if* a *is* p*-closed and* b *is* q*-closed then* ab *is* $(p+q-1)$*-closed.*

Proof. The Lemma follows from the identity

$$d^n(ab) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} n \\ i \end{array} \right\}_{\bar{a}} d^i(a) d^{n-i}(b),$$

where $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} n \\ 0 \end{array} \right\}_{\bar{a}} = (-1)^{\bar{a}}$, and for $j \geq 1$, $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} n+1 \\ j \end{array} \right\}_{\bar{a}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} n \\ j-1 \end{array} \right\}_{\bar{a}} + (-1)^{\bar{a}+j} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} n \\ j \end{array} \right\}_{\bar{a}}$.

When $n = p+q-1$, since $d^i(a) = 0$ for $i \geq p$, we only consider the case $i < p$, then $n-i = p+q-1-i > q-1$ and $d^{n-i}(b) = 0$, because $d^j(b) = 0$ for $j \geq q$. Thus so either $d^i(a) = 0$ or $d^{n-i}(b) = 0$ for all i, and we have ab is $(p+q-1)$-closed. ♦

Definition 7 *Let $(A^\bullet, m_A, d_A)$ be an M-dga and $(B^\bullet, m_B, d_B)$ be an N-dga. A* **morphism** *$f : A^\bullet \to B^\bullet$ is a linear map such that $fm_A = m_B(f \otimes Id) + m_B(Id \otimes f)$ and $d_B f = f d_A$.*

A morphism $f : A^\bullet \to B^\bullet$ such that $f(A^i) \subset B^{i+k}$ is said to be a morphism of degree k. A pair of morphisms $f, g : A^\bullet \to B^\bullet$ of N-dga are homotopic, if there exist $h : A^\bullet \to B^\bullet$ of degree $N-1$ such that

$$f - g = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} d_B^{N-1-i} h d_A^i.$$

We remark that if two morphisms $f, g : A^\bullet \to B^\bullet$ of Nil-dga are homotopic then they induce the same maps in cohomology.

Let $(A^\bullet, m_A, d_A)$ and $(B^\bullet, m_B, d_B)$ be an M-dga and an N-dga, respectively. Define $d_{A\otimes B} = d_A \otimes Id + Id \otimes d_B$, the identity

$$d^n_{A\otimes B}(a \otimes b) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{\bar{a}(n-k)} d^k_A(a) \otimes d^{n-k}_B(b) \quad \text{implies,}$$

Proposition 8 *The triple $(A^\bullet \otimes B^\bullet, m_{A\otimes B}, d_{A\otimes B})$ is an $(M+N-1)$-dga, where $m_{A\otimes B} = m_A \otimes m_B$.*

Example 9 *Let (V, d) be the 3-complex of in Example 3. On the space $V \otimes V^*$ consider the base given by $E_{ij} = e_i \otimes e_j^*$, $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, and define*

$$D(E_{ij}) = E_{(i+1)j} + (-1)^{i+j} E_{i(j-1)},$$

by Proposition 8 and since $D^4(E_{13}) \neq 0$, then $(V \otimes V^*, D)$ is a proper 5-dga.

Theorem 10 *The category Nil-dgvect is a symmetric monoidal category. Nil-dga is the category of monoids in Nil-dgvect. Nil-dga inherits a symmetric monoidal structure from Nil-dgvetc.*

Let $V^\bullet$ be an N-dga. By Proposition 8, $(V^\bullet)^{\otimes 2}$ is a $(2N-1)$-dga, $(V^\bullet)^{\otimes 3}$ is a $(3N-2)$-dga and in general $(V^\bullet)^{\otimes k}$ is a $[k(N-1)+1]$-dga.

Definition 11 *Let $(A^\bullet, m_A, d_A)$ be an N-dga and $M^\bullet$ a graded* **k***-module. Let $K \geq 2$ an integer. A* K**-differential graded module** *(K-dgm) over $(A^\bullet, m_A, d_A)$, is a triple $(M^\bullet, m_M, d_M)$ with $m_M : A^k \otimes M^l \to M^{k+l}$ and $d_M : M^k \to M^{k+1}$,* **k***-modules morphisms satisfying the following properties*

1. *For all $a, b \in A^\bullet$ and $m \in M^\bullet$, $m_M(a, m_M(b, m)) = m_M(m_A(a, b), m)$, . If no confusion arises, we denote $m_M(a, m)$ by am.*
2. *For all $a \in A^\bullet$ and $m \in M^\bullet$, $d_M(am) = d_A(a)m + (-1)^{\bar{a}} a d_M(m)$.*
3. *The pair $(M^\bullet, d_M)$ is a K-complex, $d_M^K = 0$.*

Let $(M^\bullet, m_M, d_M)$ be a K-dgm and $(N^\bullet, m_N, d_N)$ be an L-dgm both over an N-dga $(A^\bullet, m_A, d_A)$. A morphism $f : M^\bullet \to N^\bullet$ of degree k is a linear map such that $f(m_M(a, b)) = (-1)^{\bar{a}\bar{f}} m_N(a, f(b))$ and $d_M(f(b)) = f(d_N(b))$, for all $a \in A^\bullet$ and $b \in M^\bullet$. Now let $(M^\bullet, m_M, d_M)$ be a K-dgm over an M-dga $(A^\bullet, m_A, d_A)$ and $(N^\bullet, m_N, d_N)$ an L-dgm over an N-dga $(B^\bullet, m_B, d_B)$. The triple $(M \otimes N, m_{N\otimes M}, d_{M\otimes N})$ turns out to be a $(K+L-1)$-dgm over $(A \otimes B, m_{A\otimes B}, d_{A\otimes B})$, where $m_{M\otimes N}$ and $d_{M\otimes N}$ are defined as before.

Definition 12 *The space of endomorphisms of degree k of $M^\bullet$ is $End^k(M) = \prod_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} Hom(M^i, M^{i+k})$, this is, $End^k(M)$ consist of maps $f : M^\bullet \to M^\bullet$ of degree k which are linear in regard to the action of $A^\bullet$ but which does not satisfy necessarily the relation $d_M f = (-1)^{\bar{f}} f d_M$.*

There are operators $\circ_M : End(M) \otimes M^\bullet \to M^\bullet$ and $\circ_E : End(M) \otimes End(M) \to End(M)$. Similarly to Proposition 8, Proposition 13 below provides the natural algebraic structure on $End(M)$,

Proposition 13 *Define $d_{End}(f) := d_M(f) - (-1)^{\bar{f}} f(d_M)$, for $f \in End(M)$. The triple $(End(M), \circ_E, d_{End})$ is a $(2N-1)$-dga, and $(M^\bullet, \circ_M, d_M)$ is an N-dgm over $(End(M), \circ_E, d_{End})$.*

Proof. Associativity of $\circ_E$ follows from the associativity morphisms composition. The Leibniz rule for d_{End} is a consequence of the Leibniz rule for d_M. From the definition of d_{End} we obtain the identity

$$d^n_{End}(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{\bar{f}(n-k)} d^k_M \circ f \circ d^{n-k}_M$$

which can be proved by induction and holds for all $n \geq 1$. Let $n = 2N-1$ if $k < N$ then $N-1 < n-k$ and thus $d^{n-k}_M = 0$. Similarly if $n-k < N$ then $d^k_M = 0$.♦

2 Deformation theory of 2-dgas into N-dgas

Let $\mathbf{k}$ a field and consider the category **Artin** of finite dimensional local $\mathbf{k}$-algebras. If $\mathcal{R} \in Ob(\mathbf{Artin})$ with maximal ideal $\mathcal{R}_+$ then $\mathbf{k} \cong \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{R}_+$ ($\mathcal{R} = \mathbf{k}[[t]]$ and $\mathcal{R}_+ = t\mathbf{k}[[t]]$ are examples to keep in mind). Since $\mathbf{k} \cong \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{R}_+$ then $\mathcal{R} \cong \mathbf{k} \oplus \mathcal{R}_+$ as vector spaces. We study deformation theory using the formalism which considers deformations as functors from Artin algebras to Sets for later convenience.

Definition 14 *Let $A^\bullet$ be an M-dga, an N-**deformation** of $A^\bullet$ over $\mathcal{R}$ is an N-dga $A^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}}$ over $\mathcal{R}$, with $N \geq M$, such that $A^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}}/\mathcal{R}_+A^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}}$ is isomorphic to $A^\bullet$ as N-dga. Two N-deformations $A^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $B^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}}$ are said to be* **isomorphic** *if there exists an isomorphism $\Phi : A^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}} \to B^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}}$ of N-dgas such that the induced isomorphism $\bar{\Phi} : A^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}}/\mathcal{R}_+A^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}} \to B^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}}/\mathcal{R}_+B^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}}$ satisfies $i_B\bar{\Phi} = i_A$, where i_A and i_B are the isomorphism $i_A : A^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}}/\mathcal{R}_+A^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}} \to A^\bullet$ and $i_B : B^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}}/\mathcal{R}_+B^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}} \to A^\bullet$.*

The core of Definition 14 is to require that $d_{A_{\mathcal{R}}}$ reduces to d_A, and $m_{A_{\mathcal{R}}}$ reduces to m_A under the natural projection $\pi : A^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}} \to A^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}}/\mathcal{R}_+A^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}} \cong A^\bullet$. Assume that $A^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}} = A^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{R}$ as graded algebras. We have the following decomposition

$$A^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}} = A^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{R} = A^\bullet \otimes (\mathbf{k} \oplus \mathcal{R}_+) = (A^\bullet \otimes \mathbf{k}) \oplus (A^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{R}_+) = A^\bullet \oplus (A^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{R}_+).$$

Thus, since $d_{A_{\mathcal{R}}}$ reduces to d_A under the projection π, we must have

$$\boxed{d_{A_{\mathcal{R}}} = d_A + e}$$

where $e \in Der(A^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{R}_+)$ has degree 1. Moreover, the fact that $d^N_{A_{\mathcal{R}}} = 0$ implies that e is required to satisfy an identity which we call the (M,N)-Maurer-Cartan equation. Next proposition is well known and considers the classical case, that is, the $(2,2)$-Maurer-Cartan equation.

Proposition 15 *Let $A^\bullet$ be a 2-dga and $A^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}} = A^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{R}$ be a 2-deformation over $\mathcal{R}$, $d_{A_{\mathcal{R}}} = d_A + e$ where $e \in Der(A^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{R}_+)$, then e satisfies the (2,2)-Maurer-Cartan equation given by*

$$d_{End}(e) + e^2 = 0.$$

PROOF. We have
$$\begin{aligned} d^2_{A_{\mathcal{R}}}(a) &= (d_A + e)(d_A + e)(a) \\ &= d^2_A(a) + d_A(e(a)) + e(d_A(a)) + e^2(a) \\ &= d_{End}(e)(a) + e^2(a), \quad \text{for all } a \in A^\bullet. \blacklozenge \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $N = 2k + n$, $n \in \{0, 1\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$d^N_{A_{\mathcal{R}}} = d^{2k+n}_{A_{\mathcal{R}}} = (d^2_{A_{\mathcal{R}}})^k d^n_{A_{\mathcal{R}}} = (d_{End}(e) + e^2)^k d^n_{A_{\mathcal{R}}}, \quad \text{thus}$$

Theorem 16 *Let $A^\bullet$ be a 2-dga. $A^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}} = A^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{R}$ is an N-deformation over $\mathcal{R}$ with $d_{A_{\mathcal{R}}} = d_A + e$ where $e \in Der(A^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{R}_+)$ of degree 1, iff e satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} (d_{End}(e) + e^2)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}(d_A + e) = 0 \quad & \textit{for } N \textit{ odd,} \\ (d_{End}(e) + e^2)^{\frac{N}{2}} = 0 \quad & \textit{for } N \textit{ even.} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 16 can be easily extended to study deformations of the differential of a 2-dgm $M^\bullet$ over a 2-dga $A^\bullet$ as follows

Theorem 17 *Let $M^\bullet$ be a 2-dgm over a 2-dga $A^\bullet$. Then $M^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}} = M^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{R}$ is an N-deformation over $\mathcal{R}$ with $d_{A_{\mathcal{R}}} = d_A + e$ where $e \in End(M^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{R}_+)$ has degree 1, iff e satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} (d_{End}(e) + e^2)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}(d_M + e) = 0 \quad & \textit{for } N \textit{ odd,} \\ (d_{End}(e) + e^2)^{\frac{N}{2}} = 0 \quad & \textit{for } N \textit{ even.} \end{aligned}$$

Let M be a 3-dimensional smooth manifold. The space $(\Omega^\bullet(M), d)$ of differential forms on M is a differential graded algebra with d the de Rham differential. Let $\pi : E \to M$ be a vector bundle, the space $(\Omega^\bullet(M, E), d_E)$ of E-valued forms is a differential graded module over $(\Omega^\bullet(M), d)$, where d_E is the differential induced by d. Let $A \in \Omega^1(M)$ and consider the endomorphism e_A induced by A, defined by $e_A(\omega) = A \wedge \omega$ for all $\omega \in \Omega^\bullet(M, E)$. The pair $(\Omega^\bullet(M, E), d_E + e_A)$ is a 4-dgm for any A. Moreover, according to Theorem 17 $(\Omega^\bullet(M, E), d + e_A)$ is a 3-dgm if and only if for all ω

$$d_{End}(e_A)(d + e_A)\omega = 0.$$

Since $d_{End}(e_A)(d + e_A)$ is an operator of degree 3, the identity $d_{End}(e_A)(d + e_A)\omega = 0$ holds for any k-form ω, $k \geq 1$. Thus $(\Omega^\bullet(M, E), d + e_A)$ is a 3-dgm if and only if for any 0-form ω

$$d_{End}(e_A)(d + e_A)\omega = d(A) \wedge (d_E(\omega) + A \wedge \omega) = 0.$$

Similarly, it is easy to deduce from Theorem 17 that if M is an n-dimensional smooth manifold and $n < m$, then $(\Omega^\bullet(M,E), d + e_A)$ is a m-complex. Let now M be a $2n$-dimensional smooth manifold. Using local coordinates the 2-form $d_{End}(e_A)$ can be written as $F_{ij}dx^i \wedge dx^j$ where $F_{ij} = \partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i$. Furthermore,

$$(F_{ij}dx^i \wedge dx^j)^n = \Big(\sum_{\alpha \in P(2n)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} sign(\alpha) F_{a_i,b_i} \Big) dx^1 \wedge ... \wedge dx^{2n},$$

where $P(2n)$ is the set of ordered pairings of $[2n] = \{1, ..., 2n\}$. Recall that a ordered pairing $\alpha \in P(2n)$ is a sequence $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ such that $[2n] = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n \{a_i, b_i\}$ and $a_i < b_i$. By Theorem 17, $(\Omega^\bullet(M,E), d + e_A)$ is a $2n$-complex if and only if the 2-form $F_{ij}dx^i \wedge dx^j$ satisfies

$$\sum_{\alpha \in P(2n)} sign(\alpha) \prod_{i=1}^{n} F_{a_i,b_i} = 0.$$

Let M be a complex manifold and consider the differential graded algebra $(\Omega(M), \wedge, \bar{\partial})$, where $\bar{\partial}$ is the Dolbault differential. Let $\pi : E \to M$ be a complex vector bundle, we consider $\Omega(M,E)$ the forms with values in E. Recall that a holomorphic structure on E is given by a left differential graded module structure $(\Omega(M,E), \wedge_E, \bar{\partial_E})$ over the 2-dga $(\Omega(M), \wedge, \bar{\partial})$. Suppose that on $(\Omega(M,E), \wedge_E, \bar{\partial_E})$ there is a left N-differential graded module structure over the 2-dga $(\Omega(M), \wedge, \bar{\partial})$, then in this case we say that E carries an **N-holomorphic structure**.

3 Discrete quantum theory

Generally speaking the following data constitute the basic set up for a (non-relativistic) **quantum mechanical system**: A finite dimensional Riemannian manifold M which is thought as the configuration space of the quantum system; A Lagrangian function $L : TM \to \mathbb{R}$ which assigns weights to points in phase space.

Associated to this data is the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of quantum states which is usually taken to be $L^2(M)$, the space of square integrable functions on M. The dynamics of the quantum system is determined by operators $U_t : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$, where $t \in \mathbb{R}$ represents time. The kernel ω_t of U_t is such that

$$(U_t f)(y) = \int_M \omega_t(y,x) f(x) dx.$$

The key insight of Feynman is that $\omega_t(y,x)$ admits an integral representation

$$\omega_t(y,x) = \int e^{i \int_0^t L(\gamma, \dot{\gamma}) dt} D(\gamma).$$

The integral above runs over all paths $\gamma : [0,t] \to M$ such that $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\gamma(t) = y$. Making rigorous sense of this integral is the main obstacle in turning quantum mechanics a fully rigorous mathematical theory. Recall that a directed graph Γ is given by: *i*) A set V_Γ called the set of vertices, *ii*) A set E_Γ called the set of edges and *iii*) A map $(s,t) : E_\Gamma \to V_\Gamma \times V_\Gamma$. Following the pattern above, one may define a **discrete quantum mechanical system** as being given by the following data

1. A directed graph Γ (finite or infinite) which plays the role of configuration space.

2. A map $L : E_\Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$ called the Lagrangian map of the system.

The associated Hilbert space is $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^{V_\Gamma}$. The operators $U_n : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ represents discretized time are given by

$$(U_n f)(y) = \sum_{x \in V_\Gamma} \omega_n(y,x) f(x),$$

where the discretized kernel $\omega_n(y,x)$ admits the following representation

$$\omega_n(y,x) = \sum_{\gamma \in P_n(\Gamma,x,y)} \prod_{e \in \gamma} e^{iL(e)}.$$

Here $P_n(\Gamma,x,y)$ denotes the set of length n paths in Γ from x to y, i.e., sequences $(e_1, \cdots, e_n)$ of edges in Γ such that $s(e_1) = x$, $t(e_i) = s(e_{i+1})$, $i = 1, ..., n-1$ and $t(e_n) = y$.

In Section 4 we show that the generalized Maurer-Cartan equation controlling deformations of N-dgas is determined by the kernel of a discrete quantum mechanical system L which we proceed to introduce. Let us first explain our notation and conventions which generalize those introduced in [4].

For $s = (s_1, ..., s_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ we set $l(s) = n$, the length of the vector s, and $|s| = \sum_i s_i$. For $1 \leq i < n$, $s_{>i}$ denotes the vector given by $s_{>i} = (s_{i+1}, ..., s_n)$, for $1 < i \leq n$, $s_{<i}$ stands for $s_{<i} = (s_1, ..., s_{i-1})$, we also set $s_{>n} = s_{<1} = \emptyset$. $\mathbb{N}^{(\infty)}$ denotes the set $\bigsqcup_{n=0}^{(\infty)} \mathbb{N}^n$, where by convention $\mathbb{N}^{(0)} = \{\emptyset\}$.

We define maps δ_i, $\eta_i : \mathbb{N}^n \to \{0,1\}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, as follows

$$\delta_i(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s_i = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \qquad \eta_i(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s_i \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For an M-dga $A^\bullet$ and $e \in End(A^\bullet)$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}^n$ we define $e^{(s)} = e^{(s_1)} \cdots e^{(s_n)}$, where $e^{(l)} = d^l_{End}(e)$ if $l \geq 1$, $e^{(0)} = e$ and $e^\emptyset = 1$. In the case that $e_a \in End(A^\bullet)$ is given by

$$e_a(\phi) = a\phi, \quad \text{for } a \in A^1 \text{ fixed and all } \phi \in A^\bullet,$$

then $e_a^{(l)} = d^l_{End}(e_a)$ reduces to $e_a^{(l)} = e_{d^l(a)}$, thus

$$e_a^{(s)} = e_a^{(s_1)} \cdots e_a^{(s_n)} = e_{d^{s_1}(a)} \cdots e_{d^{s_n}(a)}.$$

Where $[k]$ denotes the set $\{1, 2, ..., k\}$. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we define $E_N = \{s \in \mathbb{N}^{(\infty)} : |s| + l(s) \leq i\}$ and for $s \in E_N$ we define $N(s) \in \mathbb{Z}$ by $N(s) = N - |s| - l(s)$.

We introduce the discrete quantum mechanical system L by

1. $V_L = \mathbb{N}^{(\infty)}$.
2. There is a unique directed edge in L from vertex s to t if and only if $t \in \{(0, s), s, (s + e_i)\}$ where $e_i = (0, .., \underbrace{1}_{i-th}, .., 0) \in \mathbb{N}^{l(s)}$,in this case we set $source(e) = s$ and $target(e) = t$.
3. Edges in L are weighted according to the following table

$source(e)$	$target(e)$	$weight(e)$
s	$(0, s)$	1
s	s	$(-1)^{\|s\|+l(s)}$
s	$(s + e_i)$	$(-1)^{\|s_{<i}\|+i-1}$

The set $P_N(\emptyset, s)$ consists of all paths $\gamma = (e_1, ..., e_N)$, such that $source(e_1) = \emptyset$, $target(e_N) = s$ and $source(e_{l+1}) = target(e_l)$. For $\gamma \in P_N(\emptyset, s)$ we define the weight $\omega(\gamma)$ of γ as

$$\omega(\gamma) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \omega(e_i).$$

4 The (M,N)-Maurer-Cartan equation

Lemma 18 *Let $A^\bullet$ be an M-dga and $\mathcal{R} \in Ob(\mathbf{Artin})$. We define $d_{A_\mathcal{R}} = d_A + e$ where $e \in Der(A^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{R}_+)$ has degree 1, then*

$$(d_{A_\mathcal{R}})^N = \sum_{s \in E_N} c(s, N) e^{(s)} d_A^{N(s)},$$

where the coefficient $c(s, N + 1)$ is equal to

$$\delta_1(s) c(s_{>1}, N) + (-1)^{|s|+l(s)} c(s, N) + \sum_{i=1}^{l(s)} \eta_i(s) (-1)^{|s_{<i}|+i-1} c(s - e_i, N), \qquad (1)$$

and $c(\emptyset, 1) = c(0, 1) = 1$.

Proof. We use an induction on N. For $N = 1$, since $E_1 = \{s = \emptyset,\ s = 0\}$

$$\begin{aligned} d_{A_{\mathcal{R}}} &= \sum_{s\in E_1} c(s,1)e^{(s)}d_A^{1(s)} = c(\emptyset,1)e^{(\emptyset)}d_A^{1-|\emptyset|-l(\emptyset)} + c(0,1)e^{(0)}d_A^{1-|0|-l(0)} \\ &= c(\emptyset,1)d_A + c(0,1)e. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose our formula holds for N and let us check it for $N+1$

$$\begin{aligned} (d_{A_{\mathcal{R}}})^{N+1} &= (d_A + e)(d_{A_{\mathcal{R}}})^N \\ &= (d_A + e)\Big(\sum_{s\in E_N} c(s,N)e^{(s)}d_A^{N(s)}\Big) \\ &= d_A\Big(\sum_{s\in E_N} c(s,N)e^{(s)}d_A^{N(s)}\Big) + e\Big(\sum_{s\in E_N} c(s,N)e^{(s)}d_A^{N(s)}\Big) \\ &= \sum_{s\in E_N} c(s,N)d_A(e^{(s)}d_A^{N(s)}) + \sum_{s\in E_N} c(s,N)ee^{(s)}d_A^{N(s)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Consider the second term of the right hand side of (2)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s\in E_N} c(s,N)ee^{(s)}d_A^{N(s)} &= \sum_{s\in E_N} c(s,N)e^{(0)}e^{(s)}d_A^{N(s)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{t\in E_{N+1}\\ t_1=0}} c(t_{>1},N)e^{(t)}d_A^{N-|t_{>1}|-l(t_{>1})} \qquad (3) \\ &= \sum_{s\in E_{N+1}} \delta_1(s)c(s_{>1},N)e^{(s)}d_A^{N(s)+1}. \qquad (4) \end{aligned}$$

In (3) we put $t = (0,s)$ thus $|t| = |s|$ and $l(t) = l(s)+1$ and (4) is obtained by rewriting and changing t by s.

Now consider the first term of the right hand side of (2)

$$\sum_{s\in E_N} c(s,N)d_A(e^{(s)}d_A^{N(s)}) = \sum_{\substack{s\in E_N\\ 1\le i\le l(s)}} (-1)^{|s_{<i}|+i-1}c(s,N)e^{(s+e_i)}d_A^{N(s)} + \sum_{s\in E_N}(-1)^{|s|+l(s)}c(s,N)e^{(s)}d_A^{N(s)+1} \tag{5}$$

$$= \sum_{t\in E_{N+1}}\sum_{\substack{i=1\\ t_i\ge 1}}^{l(t)}(-1)^{|t<i|+i-1}c(t-e_i,N)e^{(t)}d_A^{N-|t-e_i|-l(t)} + \sum_{s\in E_N}(-1)^{|s|+l(s)}c(s,N)e^{(s)}d_A^{N(s)+1} \tag{6}$$

$$= \sum_{s\in E_{N+1}}\sum_{i=1}^{l(s)}\eta_i(s)(-1)^{|s<i|+i-1}c(s-e_i,N)e^{(s)}d_A^{N(s)+1} + \sum_{s\in E_N}(-1)^{|s|+l(s)}c(s,N)e^{(s)}d_A^{N(s)+1}. \tag{7}$$

Putting $t = s + e_i$ in the first term of (5) we obtain (6) and rewriting and changing t by s we obtain (7). Finally collecting similar terms in (4) and (7), and using the recurrence formula we get

$$(d_A + e)^{N+1} = \sum_{s\in E_{N+1}} c(s,N+1)e^{(s)}d_A^{N(s)+1},$$

thus the proof is completed.♦

The following result generalizes Theorem 16. It provides an explicit formulae for the coefficients of the generalized Maurer-Cartan equation introduced below.

Theorem 19 *We have,*

$$(d_{A_{\mathcal{R}}})^N = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} c_k d_A^k,$$

where

$$c_k = \sum_{\substack{s\in E_N\\ N(s)=k\\ s_i<M}} c(s,N)e^{(s)} \quad \textit{and} \quad c(s,N) = \sum_{\gamma\in P_N(\emptyset,s)}\omega(\gamma).$$

Proof. One checks that the coefficients $c(s,N) = \sum_{\gamma\in P_N(\emptyset,s)}\omega(\gamma)$ satisfy the recurrence formula of Lemma 18. For this one checks that $P_{N+1}(\emptyset,s)$ is naturally partitioned in three blocks. The first block contains paths that are the composition of a path $\gamma:\emptyset\to s$ in $P_N(\emptyset,s_{>1})$ with an edge $s_{>1}\to(0,s_{>1})$ and corresponds with the first term in (1). The second block consists of paths that are the composition of a path $\gamma:\emptyset\to s$ in $P_N(\emptyset,s)$

with an edge $s \to s$ and corresponds with the second term in (1), finally the last block consists of paths that are the composition of a path $\gamma : \emptyset \to s - e_i$ in $P_N(\emptyset, s - e_i)$ with an edge $s - e_i \to s$ and corresponds with the last term of (1).♦

Let $A^\bullet$ be an M-dga and $A^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}}$ an N-deformation over $\mathcal{R}$ with $A^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}} = A^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{R}$. For $a \in A^1 \otimes \mathcal{R}_+$ we define $e_a : A^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}} \to A^\bullet_{\mathcal{R}}$ by

$$e_a(b) = ab - (-1)^{\bar{b}} ba.$$

We are assuming that the product is not graded commutative. It is easy to see that e_b is a derivation of degree 1 on $A^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{R}_+$. Then $d_{A_{\mathcal{R}}} = d_A + e_a$ is an N-deformation of d_A iff e_a satisfies the equation

$$\sum_{\substack{s \in E_N \\ s_i < M}} c(s, N) e_a^{(s)} d_A^{N - |s| - l(s)} = 0. \tag{8}$$

Equation (8) will be called the (M, N)-**Maurer-Cartan equation**. We closed this section by formally introducing the (M, N)-Maurer-Cartan functor $MC_M^N(A)$ which controls deformations of the differential d_A of an N-dga $A^\bullet$.

Definition 20 *For $N \geq M$, $a \in A^1 \otimes \mathcal{R}_+$ is said to be an (M, N)-**Maurer-Cartan element** of $A^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{R}$ if e_a satisfies the (M, N)-Maurer-Cartan equation (8). We say that a is homotopic to a', if e_a is homotopic to $e_{a'}$ as morphisms of N-dgas.*

Definition 21 *We define the (M, N)-**Maurer-Cartan** functor $MC_M^N(A)$: **Artin** $\to$ **Set** for each M-dga $A^\bullet$ over $\mathbf{k}$. Functor $MC_M^N(A)$ is given by*

1. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be an object of **Artin**. $MC_M^N(A)(\mathcal{R})$ is the set of homotopy classes of all (M, N)-Maurer-Cartan elements of $A^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{R}$.*

2. *If $\varphi : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}'$ be a morphism of the category **Artin** and a is an (M, N)-Maurer-Cartan element of $A^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{R}$, then $(1 \otimes \varphi)(a)$ is an (M, N)-Maurer-Cartan elements of $A^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{R}'$. Thus we obtain a map $\varphi_* : MC_M^N(A)(\mathcal{R}) \to MC_M^N(A)(\mathcal{R}')$.*

Deformation theory of K-dgms over an M-dga can be defined similarly.

5 Chern-Simons actions

Let $(A^\bullet, m_A, d_A)$ be a 2-dga over $\mathbf{k}$ and let $(M^\bullet, m_M, d_M)$ be a 2-dgm over $(A^\bullet, m_A, d_A)$, consider its $2K$-Maurer-Cartan equation, that is the equation that arises when we deform the 2-dgm $(M^\bullet, m_M, d_M)$ into a $2K$-dgm, $MC_{2K}(a) = (d_{End}(a) + a^2)^K = 0$, where $a \in End(M^\bullet)$ has degree 1. Let us assume that there exists a linear functional $\int : End(M^\bullet) \to \mathbf{k}$ of degree $2K+1$, (i.e., $\int b = 0$ if $\bar{b} \neq 2K + 1$) satisfying the following conditions:

1. $\int$ is non degenerate, that is, $\int ab = 0$ for all a, then $b = 0$.

2. $\int d(a) = 0$ for all a, where $d = d_{End(M^\bullet)}$.

3. $\int$ is cyclic, this is $\int a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = (-1)^{\bar{a_1}(\bar{a_2}\cdots\bar{a_n})} \int a_2 \cdots a_n a_1$.

We define the **Chern-Simons** functional $cs_{2,2K} : End(M^\bullet) \to \mathbf{k}$ by

$$cs_{2,2K}(a) = 2K \int \pi(\#^{-1}(a(d_{End}(a) + a^2)^K)),$$

where

1. $\mathbf{k}<a, d(a)>$ denotes the free $\mathbf{k}$-algebra generated by symbols a and $d(a)$.

2. $\# : \mathbf{k}<a, d(a)> \longrightarrow \mathbf{k}<a, d(a)>$ is the linear map defined by

$$\#(a^{i_1} d(a)^{j_1} ... a^{i_k} d(a)^{j_k}) = (i_1 + .. + i_k + j_1 + .. + j_k) a^{i_1} d(a)^{j_1} .. a^{i_k} d(a)^{j_k}.$$

3. $\pi : \mathbf{k}<a, d(a)> \longrightarrow End(M^\bullet)$ is the canonical projection.

For $K = 1$ we have that $cs_{2,2}(a)$ is equal to

$$2 \int \pi(\#^{-1}(a(d(a) + a^2))) = 2 \int \pi(\#^{-1}(ad(a) + a^3))) = \int ad(a) + \frac{2}{3} a^3,$$

which is the Chern-Simons functional. In general we have the following result

Theorem 22 *Let $K \geq 1$ be an integer. The Chern-Simons functional $cs_{2,2K}$ is a Lagrangian for the $2K$-Maurer-Cartan equation, i.e., $a \in End^1(M^\bullet)$ is a critical point of $cs_{2,2K}$ if and only if $(d(a) + a^2)^K = 0$.*

Proof. We check that $\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} cs_{2,2K+2}(a + b\varepsilon) \mid_{\varepsilon=0} = (2K + 2) \int b MC_{2K+2}(a)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} cs_{2,2K+2}(a + b\varepsilon) \quad \mid_{\varepsilon=0} \\
&\quad = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} (2K + 2) \pi \int (\#^{-1}((a + b\varepsilon) MC_{2K+2}(a + b\varepsilon)) \mid_{\varepsilon=0} \\
&\quad = (2K + 2) \int \pi(\#^{-1}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon}(a + b\varepsilon) MC_{2K}(a + b\varepsilon) MC_2(a + b\varepsilon))) \mid_{\varepsilon=0} \\
&\quad = (2K + 2) \int \pi(\#^{-1}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon}(a + b\varepsilon) MC_{2K}(a + b\varepsilon)) \mid_{\varepsilon=0} MC_2(a) \\
&\quad + (2K + 2) \int \pi(\#^{-1}(a MC_{2K}(a) \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} MC_2(a + b\varepsilon))) \mid_{\varepsilon=0}. \qquad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

By degree reasons the second term of (9) vanishes, the inductive hypothesis yields

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}cs_{2,2K+2}(a+b\varepsilon)\mid_{\varepsilon=0} &= (2K+2)\int bMC_{2K}(a)MC_2(a)\\ &= (2K+2)\int bMC_{2K+2}(a).\blacklozenge\end{aligned}$$

For $K=2,\ 3$ the Chern-Simons functional $cs_{2,2K}(a)$ is given by

$$cs_{2,4}(a)=\int\frac{4}{3}a(d(a))^2+2a^3d(a)+\frac{4}{5}a^5.$$

$$cs_{2,6}(a)=\int\frac{3}{2}a(d(a))^3+\frac{12}{5}a^3(d(a))^2+\frac{6}{5}ad(a)a^2d(a)+3a^5d(a)+\frac{6}{7}a^7.$$

Acknowledgement

We thank Nicolás Andruskiewitsch, Edmundo Castillo, Eddy Pariguan, Sylvie Paycha and Jim Stasheff for helpful suggestions. Thanks also to an anonymous referee for precise corrections.

References

[1] M. Angel, R. Díaz, *N-flat connections.*-To appear in Proceedings of the Conference on Geometric and Topological Methods for Quantum Field Theory, Edited by: Sylvie Paycha, Hernan Ocampo, and Bernardo Uribe (Villa de Leyva 2005), Contemp. Math. book series, A.M.S. Preprint math.DG/0511242.

[2] M. Angel, R. Díaz, *On the q-analogue of the Maurer-Cartan equation.*-Advan. Studies in Contemporary Mathematics Vol 12 no. 2(2006), 315-322. Preprint math.QA/0601698.

[3] M. Angel, R. Díaz, A_∞^N*-algebras.*-In preparation.

[4] R. Díaz, E. Pariguan, *Symmetric Quantum Weyl algebras.*-Annales Mathematiques Blaise Pascal 11, 187-203, 2004.

[5] M. Dubois-Violette, *Lectures on differentials, generalized differentials and some examples related to theoretical physics.*-Quantum symmetries in theoretical physics and mathematics (Bariloche 2000), pages 59-94, Contemp. Math. 294, A.M.S. 2002.

[6] P. Freyd, *Abelian Categories.*-Harper International Edition, 1964.

[7] K. Fukaya, *Deformation theory, homological algebra, and mirror symmetry.*-Preprint January 2002.

[8] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of Algebraic Geometry.*-Wiley Interscience,1978. Pure and Applied Mathematics.

[9] M.M. Kapranov, *On the q-analog of homological algebra.*-Preprint q-alg/9611005.

[10] C. Kassel et M. Wambst, *Algèbre homologique des N-complexes et homologie de Hochschild aux racines de l'unité.*-Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto University 34 (1998), n 2, 91-114.

[11] M. Kontsevich, *Homological Algebra of mirror symmetry.*-Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Zürich, 1994), Birkhäser, 1995, pp. 120-139.

[12] M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman, *Homological mirror symmetry and torus fibrations.*-Symplectic geometry and mirror symmetry, World Scientific, 2001, pp. 203-263.

[13] A. Sitarz, *On the tensor product construction for q-differential algebras.*-Lett. Math. Phys. 44 1998.

Mauricio Angel. Universidad Central de Venezuela (UCV). `mangel@euler.ciens.ucv.ve`
Rafael Díaz. Universidad Central de Venezuela (UCV). `rdiaz@euler.ciens.ucv.ve`